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Goal

☐ A framework for creating a Catalogue of Services (CoS)

☐ Primarily intended for e-Infrastructure services

☐ Framework:
   Used to specify and implement a concrete catalogue
     ☐ not a catalogue itself
     ☐ does not list or describe services

☐ http://bit.ly/1Nk0JCA
Benefits

- Inform service users
  - Make services findable
  - Enable determining the services’ relevance
  - Identify overlapping efforts or gaps in the catalogued service landscape

- Assist service providers
  - Provide shared language for service descriptions
  - Resulting in interoperable project-local service descriptions
  - Provide competitive advantage by being able to show your products
Methodology

☐ Exploratory
  ☐ information coverage vs. maintainability

☐ Reusing existing conceptualisations:
  ☐ FitSM
  ☐ ISO 20000 : requirements for an information technology service management (ITSM) system
  ☐ UK Government Service Design Manual

☐ Validated with examples from projects THOR, EGI, EUDAT, GEANT, OpenAIRE, BlueBridge

☐ Implementation independent
e-Infrastructure Catalogue of Services

Goal
This document outlines a framework for creating a Catalogue of Services (CoS), primarily intended for e-infrastructure services. It is supposed to describe services at a high level and to make them findable. It can also be used to identify overlapping efforts or gaps in the catalogue service landscape.

The goal of the document is to define a framework that can be used to specify and implement a concrete catalogue. It is not a catalogue itself and does not list or describe services.

Methodology
The framework was developed in an exploratory manner to include a choice of key concepts, balancing information coverage and maintainability of the CoS. It reuses the existing conceptualisations in F Erd MISO, ISO 20000 for e-technology service management (F 2SM) system, and the UK Government Service Design Manual.

The framework was evaluated with examples from the projects BlueBridge, EGI, EU-GRID, GEANT, OpenAIRE, and THOR.

The presentation of the framework is implementation independent. It does not imply how a CoS should be implemented. The implemented system could take the form of a list of services or be implemented as a database. This depends on the intended functions that the CoS will need to support.

What is a CoS?

Because of the way the task was assigned to this working group we refer to a catalogue of services. However, the conceptual model in this framework rather describes a service portfolio, which can also capture pre- and post-production services; a catalogue of services, in contrast, usually includes live services. A portfolio supports the use cases of on-going operational as well as prototype services, all of which are intended in the next

The CoS and the Service Providers

The framework assumes that the CoS is not necessarily owned and maintained by the service provider. The CoS may cover services from multiple service providers. The user of the CoS may, for example:

- be a funding agency;
- a current and prospective service provider (S3F III); a infrastructure, VRE projects;
- a research community.

It assumes that the CoS owner may hold only high-level, core information about the service: in particular information needed to identify whether the service would likely be relevant for a given service user. It will then hold all information to access more detailed information about the service at the service provider's website. The CoS should hold enough information to enable matching relevant services with a good specificity, but not so much that it would be unrealistic to keep the service descriptions up-to-date.

How to use the framework

When the framework is used to create a CoS it needs to be tailored to the specific requirements of the CoS. The framework introduces a range of relevant concepts that describe a generic CoS. It makes a proposal of which concepts would most likely be desirable for a CoS instance, but the final decision on the level of detail remains with the creator of the CoS instance. In particular, the chosen features will vary with:

- the declared purpose. For example, continuity information should only be included if the user is expected to use it in the CoS;
- the declared stakeholder target groups: this may include the CoS users, managers, and owners. The specific implementation may also vary based on the covered domain or research area or organisation type.

Result

http://bit.ly/1Nk0JCA
## Service attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service name</strong></td>
<td>Name of a specific service as assigned by the service provider</td>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> FitSM template for service portfolio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Format:</strong> Free text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service ID</strong></td>
<td>Global unique and persistent identifier of a specific service</td>
<td><strong>Format:</strong> DOI or any other relevant standard; it should contain information about the identifier type and value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Additional info:</strong> A PID can be used ideally resolvable to a landing page or a machine readable data typed metadata page. It should be assigned by the CoS owner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service description</strong></td>
<td>High-level description of what the service does in terms of functionalities it provides and the resources it enables</td>
<td><strong>Format:</strong> Free text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Additional info:</strong> It may provide also information related to the offered capacity, number of installations, underlying data that is offered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Validation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service name</th>
<th>Object storage</th>
<th>Cloud compute</th>
<th>Assign persistent identifier</th>
<th>Metadata store</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service ID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service webpage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service provider</td>
<td>EGI</td>
<td>EGI</td>
<td>DataCite</td>
<td>DataCite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service contact</td>
<td><a href="mailto:support@egi.eu">support@egi.eu</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:support@egi.eu">support@egi.eu</a></td>
<td><a href="https://www.datacite.org/contact">https://www.datacite.org/contact</a></td>
<td><a href="https://www.datacite.org/contact">https://www.datacite.org/contact</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service description</td>
<td>Store and retrieve unstructured data as objects via a uniform/standard interface. Most object stores allow attaching metadata to objects, and</td>
<td>Run virtual machines of your choice on high quality IT resources accessible via a uniform interface from multiple service providers</td>
<td>A service to assign persistent identifiers to data sets backed-up by a governance structure</td>
<td>A metadata management service to for maintaining descriptive metadata associated with datasets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Service (1)

- A service supports
  - defined functions
  - for defined purposes
  - for defined stakeholders
  - under defined conditions
  - available from a provider

- Key attributes are captured in the framework’s conceptual model
Service (2)

- Service area
  - taken from the IT life-cycle: low to high-level functions
  - across IT functions
  - vocabulary customised to the CoS

- Visualisation
- Publication
- Access
- Discovery
- Analysis
- Applications
- Authorization/ Authentication
- PID Identification
- Networking
- Hosting
- Computing
- Data Management & Preservation
- Storage
- Data & Information
- Training / Consulting / Policy
- Distributing / Sharing / Collaborating

http://project-thor.eu
Service (3)

From a customer perspective

Not

☐ Project
  ☐ That produce a service
  ☐ One project can offer multiple services

☐ Specific software / tool
  ☐ The product that implements and provides the service
  ☐ A service can be implemented through multiple software solutions

☐ Service Level Agreements (SLA)
  ☐ Several different SLAs can be associated with a service
Service (4)

The CoS should

☐ Inform, not market

☐ Capture service abstractions, not service instances

☐ Capture live services and pre- and post-production services
CoS and service providers

- Not necessarily owned and maintained by service providers
  - a current and prospective service provider (ESFRI RIs, e-Infrastructures, VRE projects…)
  - a funding agency
  - a research community

- Services from multiple service providers

- High level, core information about the service
  - detailed information at the service provider’s website
How to use the framework: Tailor

- **Tailor** the framework to **requirements** of specific CoS
  - State requirements and policies explicitly
  - Framework = range of relevant concepts that describe a generic CoS
    - The most likely concepts to be desirable for a CoS instance
  - Detail determined by the creator of the CoS instance

Angela Dappert
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How to use the framework: Adopt

- **Adopt fields** dependent on
  - **Purpose**
    - E.g. Is cost/benefit information needed by the user?
  - **Stakeholder target groups**
    - E.g. owners, managers, customers, users
    - E.g. domain / research area
    - E.g. organisation types
  - **Policies**: vary for service types, departments, organisations, sectors, regions
  - **Systems context**: able to obtain and maintain information
How to use the framework: Add

Add

☐ **Data types** : permissible values

☐ **Controlled vocabularies** for each field

☐ **Applicability** and **obligation**, under what conditions
How to use the framework: Extend

- **Extend** the general framework
  - additional information needed depending on **scope** and **types of services**
  - domain-specific information
  - technical detail
  - more specific / granular information
How to use the framework: Constrain

Define constraints for use of CoS

- the **geographic** scope
  - such as organisational, regional, European

- the **nature** of the included services
  - such as training, IT services, consultancy

- other **constraints**
  - such as who funded the service

- **size, style** and **format** limitations for text
  - homogeneous presentation
  - useful and comparable content
How to use the framework: Governance

- Agree on maintenance and update policy
- Agree on granularity of description to ensure comparability